Iris Recognition I COMP 388-002/488-002 Biometrics







Today you will...

Get to know Reasons to use irises for recognition. How irises compare to fingerprints and to faces.





Today's attendance

Please fill out the form

https://forms.gle/1CnZuLVN3aXcEtsR8







Content



Basics Concepts **Metrics** Metric implementation







Core Traits (3) Concepts **Baseline implementation** Data collection Evaluation Attacks Assignments

Course Overview



Alternative Traits and Fusion Concepts



Invited Talks (2) State of the art Future work







Content



Basics Concepts Metrics Metric implementation







Core Traits (3) Concepts **Baseline implementation** Data collection Evaluation Attacks Assignments

Course Overview



Alternative Traits and Fusion Concepts



Invited Talks (2) State of the art Future work







lrises



Jain, Ross, and Nadakumar Introduction to Biometrics Springer Books, 2011

Ocular Region





lrises



Ocular Region





























Limbus boundary

Pupillary boundary









Limbus boundary

Pupillary boundary









Limbus boundary

Pupillary boundary









Limbus boundary

Pupillary boundary









Limbus boundary

Pupillary boundary









Limbus boundary

Pupillary boundary







commons.wikimedia.org

Iris

Located behind the cornea and in front of the lens.







Hans Rohen Der bau der regenbogenhault beim menschen und einigen Saugern Gegenbaur Morphology Journal, 1951



Iris

Located behind the cornea and in front of the lens.

Complex mesh of muscle beams, blood vessels, nerves, and pigmented skin.







Suren Manvelyan







minimum wear-and-tear eshwork for the iris. sion Research, 2000 Wyatt

Adam Czajka



Sphincter Muscles



Dilator Muscles



Non-linear constrictions and dilations.

Anatomy

Iris

Located behind the cornea and in front of the lens.

Complex mesh of muscle beams, blood vessels, nerves, and pigmented skin.

Function: regulate the amount of light entering the eye by dilating or contracting the pupil.





Genesis

Epigenetic Trait

Development starts in the end of the 2nd month of gestation.

Fully developed by the 8th month of gestation.





Adam Czajka





Genesis

Epigenetic Trait

Different gestations will lead to different irises (except for color), even if DNA is the same.

Right and left irises are different.

Identical twins have different irises.

J. Daugman Evolving Methods in Iris Recognition BTAS, 2012









Market



Source: Mani and Nadeski, Processing solutions for biometric systems, Texas Instruments, 2015





Universality (1/8) Does everybody have the trait?

Probably















Uniqueness (2/8)

How likely two or more individuals will present the same trait?





>







Uniqueness (2/8)

How likely two or more individuals will present the same trait?

E.g., identical twins Same faces. Four different irises.





Source: John Daugman Lecture Notes, 2018





Permanence (3/8)

How easily does the trait change?





>







Permanence (3/8)

How easily does the trait change?

Needed Research

There seems to be a degradation of True Match Rate (TMR) as a function of time.

A. Czajka Influence of Iris Template Aging on Recognition Reliability Springer CCIS, 2014





Permanence (3/8)

How easily does the trait change?

Traumas and Diseases

Some traumas and diseases might degrade/change the iris.



commons.wikimedia.org



E.g., cataracts.





Measurability (4/8)

How easy is it to acquire and digitize the trait?











Not there yet.





Acceptability (5/8)

Will individuals collaborate during data collection?















Acceptability (5/8)

Will individuals collaborate during data collection?

Privacy Concerns



Whose fingerprint is this?



Whose iris is this?

Whose face is this?



Circumvention (6/8) How hard can the trait be forged or imitated?













Circumvention (6/8)

How hard can the trait be forged or imitated?











Egad, would it work?





Circumvention (6/8)

Irises can be used in identification soon after death.

Trokielewicz, Czajka, and Maciejewicz Iris Recognition After Death IEEE TIFS, 2019









Circumvention (6/8)

Irises can be used in identification soon after death.

Trokielewicz, Czajka, and Maciejewicz Iris Recognition After Death **IEEE TIFS**, 2019

If body is kept in a mortuary, iris recognition is successful even 17 days after death!






<

Performance (7/8)

How good is the trait quantitatively according to objective metrics?







?







Performance (7/8)

How good is the trait quantitatively according to objective metrics?



J. Daugman, 2006 IEEE Proceedings, vol. 94, no. 11



200 billion comparisons



Probing the Uniqueness and Randomness of IrisCodes



Nearly perfect match rates





>

Explainability (8/8)

How easy is it for the everyman to understand the trait comparison?







>









Same Person?









Same Person?

Iris Recognition

In a Nutshell

Easy, right?

How can we make it meaningful to the everyman?

	NAN	
	TAN	١
APP	LIVE	1
Personal Information	Magrywine in 18	50
EMILAddress JQPJQPJQPJQD	LIQI	1 10
Services needed		I
	IGED) Test Pakkel? Yes No	
Current Income	ered about recent first) Creats Laned	1

People have the right to obtain an explanation of decisions made about them by algorithms.

How to convince people who do not possess image processing expertise?

How should we start?

Ask a human: How do people perform iris recognition?

Dataset

Easy for an automated solution

[1] Collection ND-CrossSensor-Iris-2013 Computer Vision Research Laboratory at the University of Notre Dame, 2013.

Hard for an automated solution

Source: NDCrossSensor-Iris-2013 dataset [1].

[2] OSIRIS: An open source iris recognition software. Othman et al. Elsevier Pattern Recognition Letters, 82(2):124–131, 2016

[2] OSIRIS: An open source iris recognition software. Othman et al. Elsevier Pattern Recognition Letters, 82(2):124–131, 2016

Easy for an automated solution

Hard for an automated solution

Dataset

Easy for an automated solution

Hard for an automated solution

[3] Genetically identical irises have texture similarity that is not detected by iris biometrics. Hollingsworth et al. Elsevier Computer Vision and Image Understanding, 115(11):1493–1502, 2011.

Twins' Source: Hollingsworth et al. [3]

Twins'

Dataset

Easy for an automated solution

Pupil dynamic

Source: Hollingsworth et al. [3]

[3] Genetically identical irises have texture similarity that is not detected by iris biometrics. Hollingsworth et al. Elsevier Computer Vision and Image Understanding, 115(11):1493–1502, 2011.

Hard for an automated solution

Twins'

Pupil-dynamic

Dataset

Easy for an automated solution

Pupil dynamic

Hard for an automated solution

Twins'

Deceased

Source:

Warsaw-BioBase-Disease-Iris v2.1 [4]

[4] Database of iris images acquired in the presence of ocular pathologies and assessment of iris recognition reliability for disease affected eyes. Trokielewicz et al. IEEE Intl. Conference on Cybernetics, 2015.

Deceased

Dataset

Easy for an automated solution

Hard for an automated solution

Pupil dynamic

[5] Human iris recognition in post-mortem subjects: Study and database.

Trokielewicz et al. IEEE Intl. Conference on Biometrics: Theory, Applications and Systems, 2016.

Twins'

Deceased

Disease-affected

Source: Warsaw-BioBase-Post-Mortem-Iris v1.0 [5]

Disease-affected

Dataset

Easy for an automated solution

Pupil dynamic

Hard for an automated solution

Twins'

Deceased

Disease-affected

1360 iris images (NIR and manually segmented)

512 distinct irises 512 individuals

Iris-pair types **Genuine** (not taken at the same day) **Impostor** (not mixing different categories)

Moreira et al., Performance of Humans in Iris Recognition: The Impact of Iris Condition and Annotation-driven Verification WACV 2019

Session 2

- 1. Same person (certain).
- 2. Same person (likely).
- 3. Uncertain.

- 4. Different person (likely).
- 5. Different person (certain).

Manual annotation of **matching** and **missing** features

- 4. Different people (likely). \bigcirc
- 5. Different people (certain). \bigcirc

114 people (age 18 to 65)

For each person 20 trials

Average session time 7 min

Balanced distribution

Category wise Pair-type wise Random presentation

85 people

For each person 10 trials

Average session time 10 min

Balanced distribution

Category wise Pair-type wise Session-1 answer wise

Annotation Tool

Available at https://github.com/ danielmoreira/iris-examination

Paper.js Web-browser drawing library.

Your decision (change)

SAME PERSON (CERTAIN)

NEXT

Please annotate 2-5 matching or non-matching regions.

Accuracy (%)

[2] OSIRIS: An open source iris recognition software. Othman et al. Elsevier Pattern Recognition Letters, 82(2):124–131, 2016

[6] IriCore. Iritech, Inc. Available at http://www.iritech.com, 2018.

[7] MIRLIN Iris Recognition. FotoNation. Available at https://www.fotonation.com, 2018.

Accuracy (%)

normalized decision frequencies

different people (certain)

How confident were people?

Ideal graph

How confident were people?

Obtained graph

Accuracy (%) Overall 100

75 50 25 0 overall KOld

Did annotations help?

With annotations

Without annotations







With annotations

Without annotations













Did annotations help?







Was time important?







annotation-driven sessions

impostor

Was time important?





Findings



People performed better when they annotated the irises.





Most challenging cases to people: with pupil dilation and twins. Annotating pupil dilation helps. Annotating twins' doesn't.



People were better than machines in deceased and disease-affected cases.





What's Next?

Iris Recognition Pipeline Acquisition, enhancement, feature extraction, matching, and decision.



Fill out your **Today-I-missed Statement** Please visit https://sakai.luc.edu/x/PnQvIG.



80

